

FIND PERAMBULATOR IN BABY KIDNAPING

Police Get Carriage Many Blocks From Where Infant Girl Vanished.

SEARCH ON FOR WOMAN

Mildred Voth's Mother Recalls Stranger's Acts in 125th Street.

KNOWS OF NO ENEMIES

Boys and Girls Use Buggy as Plaything Until One Links It to Disappearance.

Detectives have been able to get no trace of Mildred Voth, the three-month-old daughter of Julius Voth of 307 West 118th street, and they believe that she has been kidnapped.

The baby carriage in which Mrs. Voth left the child on Wednesday while she went into an F. W. Woolworth & Co. store at 256 West 125th street was found early yesterday in front of 1635 Madison avenue, near 110th street, but the baby had been removed from it. Mrs. Mary Newmark of 27 East 109th street told the police she had noticed children of the neighborhood playing with the carriage during the afternoon.

The detectives are trying to find a woman Mrs. Voth saw admiring her baby earlier in the afternoon when she left a Kress store in 125th street. This woman followed Mrs. Voth into the Woolworth store, but left the store a few minutes before Mrs. Voth did. The police now believe that the woman may have been the baby carriage away, abandoned the carriage later and kidnapped the baby. The missing child weighs fifteen pounds, had blue eyes and brown hair and wore a white jacket and a white hat, both trimmed with pink. She had a scar on her left cheek.

The police believe that the woman seen in the Kress and Woolworth stores by Mrs. Voth must have gone directly to the baby carriage when she left the latter store. Then she probably went away quickly with the child, and left the carriage in Madison avenue.

Half an hour or so after the child and carriage had vanished Mrs. Newmark saw the boys and girls of her district playing with the baby carriage, but it was an old one and she thought the mother of one of them had given it to them.

On Wednesday night, however, one of the boys told her he believed the carriage must have belonged to the kidnapped baby. He said that he had heard his mother reading about it from the paper and that he had seen a description of the one mentioned as having been occupied by the Voth baby.

Mrs. Newmark notified the police of the West 104th street station and detectives called for the carriage about 8 o'clock in the morning. Later it was identified by Voth as the one in which his wife had put the baby when she went shopping, and the one in which the child was sleeping while the mother made purchases in the Kress and Woolworth stores.

Mr. and Mrs. Voth told the police they had no enemies that they knew of and saw no reason why their baby should have been kidnapped. The detectives, however, believe that the woman who probably took the carriage was awayed merely by unexplained maternal affection and was unable to withstand the sight of the pretty baby asleep in the carriage.

BABIES OF ALL NATIONS IN EAST SIDE CONTEST

Sisters of Christian Doctrine Award Prizes to-morrow.

Probably the most cosmopolitan baby show ever held in New York will be seen in the lower East side to-morrow night as the climax of the Bazaar of the Sisters of Christian Doctrine at Market and Cherry streets. There will be white babies and black babies, and Chinese and Japanese babies and those of all the other races that throng the nation. About five hundred will be in the show. Prizes will be awarded.

The Sisters of Christian Doctrine have been doing charitable work in their district for many years. They feed the hungry and care for the sick, and they have a nursery where mothers who must work may leave their babies and know that they will be well cared for until they return. The proceeds of the bazaar are to be applied to this work.

POLICE HOLD SUSPECT AS DRUG RING LEADER

Partner in Hotel Is Brother of Man Previously Accused.

As a sequel to the raid on September 22 in which drugs said to be worth \$500,000 were seized and five men were arrested at the Douglas Hotel, 207 West Fortieth street, Detectives Thomas Farrell and Frank Quigley of the narcotic squad yesterday arrested Harry Dworetzky, aged 31, of 207 West Fortieth street. Dworetzky, according to the police, is a brother of Benjamin Dworetzky, one of the five men arrested in the raid. The brothers own the hotel.

Harry Dworetzky was held by Magistrate Jesse Silbermann in \$10,000 bail for examination October 4, when the other prisoners will be examined.

In the arrest of Harry Dworetzky the police say they believe they have an important member of the drug ring.

CITIZENSHIP REFUSED COLUMBIA GRADUATE

Court Says High School Teacher Tried to Deceive Him

Supreme Court Justice Callaghan denied citizenship to Solomon Jonathan Davidson Pendell, high school teacher and graduate of Trinity College at Hartford, Conn., and Columbia University, yesterday in Brooklyn. Justice Callaghan decided that the applicant had sought to deceive him.

Pendell told Justice Callaghan he had no recollection of having claimed exemption from the draft on the ground that he was an alien. Records of the War Department showed that he had.

Pendell was born in London and came here from Hamburg in 1906. He is 25 years old and lives at 255 West Thirty-third street, Brooklyn.

LADS OF 5 INVADE COURT, SEEK JUSTICE IN PENNY SALE

Each of Two Lays Down a Cent for a Whistle Apiece, but They Get Only One Noisemaker—Now They Demand Law's Aid.

"Just what is all that disturbance near the door?" demanded Magistrate Joseph E. Corrigan yesterday in Essex Market Court.

"Two lads who insist upon seeing the Judge, your Honor," exclaimed William Gannon, the clerk. "Here they are." And Gannon and a policeman held aloft Albert Meyer, Jr., who says he is five years old, and Alexander Auberach, who would be five for several months. The Magistrate suspended proceedings and invited the lads forward. They told him that they had come to see about having their rights upheld.

Albert did the talking. It seems that he and Alexander had started the day with two cents between them. They spent an hour or so thinking and then decided that the safest investment would be to put their money into two penny whistles. There were traffic cops to irritate, and anyway, any fellow who rides a velocipede in First avenue almost has to have a whistle with the baby carriage traffic the way it is. So they went to a candy stall in First avenue near Third street and negotiated for whistles. Albert told the Magistrate that he wasn't sure what the name of the candy vendor was, but that she looked

honest enough. He said that he and Alexander laid down their pennies and received just one whistle, which went to Alexander because Alexander is the smallest. Albert got no whistle. Argument did no good. The woman, Albert says, said whistles had no use and that anyway, only one penny was laid on the marble counter and that she was too busy to bother with such little boys. Albert and Alexander looked up at a patrolman. The patrolman told them to get right on their velocipedes and so up to Magistrate Corrigan's court and tell him about it. The Magistrate issued a summons to the outraged lads and sent them out in the custody of a patrolman, who was to serve the summons for them. The summons is returnable to-day, provided service was made.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

The trouble appears to be that Albert and Alexander are a little vague about addresses. Albert doesn't live at 31 First avenue, as he is alleged to have told the court clerk, and there is no Alexander Auberach at 170 East Fourth street. Furthermore, there is no candy and toy merchant in the place designated by Albert and Alexander as the scene of the outrage.

ANTI-VICE CRUSADER SUED THREE IN DAY

Books Sumner Called Naughty Lead to Litigation for \$65,000 Damages.

RECENT QUEST SEARCHING

Successor to Comstock Says He Doesn't Know What It's All About.

Process servers who dogged the footsteps of John S. Sumner yesterday and for days previous finally were able to thrust at him papers in actions for damages aggregating \$65,000. Mr. Sumner is the successor of the late Anthony N. Comstock, the most celebrated raider since Mosby, as head of the Society for the Suppression of Vice. Recently he has been active against persons and business concerns held by him to have been responsible for circulating naughty books, and it was this activity that bounced back on him yesterday.

Boni & Liveright, publishers, who won a decision over Sumner in a magistrate's court on Wednesday, when the court decided that the "Satyricon of Petronius Arbiter" was much too classical to be put in the category of volucrum rejected by the professional purists, placed in the hands of its counsel a demand for \$25,000, making Sumner and the society joint defendants. The complaint alleges that Sumner insinuated about two months ago in the columns of a well known newspaper that Boni & Liveright binned the public their "limited editions" not being nearly as limited as that.

Such talk, Mr. Liveright said yesterday, constitutes a flagrant attack against the business integrity of the society, and he purposes to make the sure at heart pay for it. Sumner said at his office that he hadn't been served and didn't know just what it was all about. He declined to criticize the court decision that broke the back of his complaint against Boni & Liveright in the "Satyricon" case, but did not appear to be altogether satisfied with the magistrate's scholarly conclusions.

Another suit, for \$10,000, is brought by a girl who alleges false arrest and wants cash satisfaction. Mary H. Mark, an employee of a circulating library in West Forty-second street, was arrested by an agent of the society and arraigned in a magistrate's court charged with having stolen a copy of "A Young Girl's Diary," regarded by Sumner as hot stuff. She was paroled in the custody of her counsel and later was released on the ground that no offense has been committed. Sumner lost out all around in the case of "The Diary of a Young Girl," since his action against the publisher was defeated.

The third suit was filed in the Supreme Court yesterday by Thomas Seltzer, the publisher, asking \$30,000 damages for false arrest. The rate of \$10,000 a volume on the three books, "Women in Love," by D. H. Lawrence; "Casanova's Homecoming," by Arthur Schnitzler, the Austrian playwright and author, and "A Young Girl's Diary," by an anonymous Austrian girl who seemed fond of putting her inmost thoughts into print.

Through his attorneys the publisher asserts that these books are standard works of recognized merit, have been sold by leading booksellers in the city without objection and that the rate of \$10,000 a volume on the three books, "Women in Love," by D. H. Lawrence; "Casanova's Homecoming," by Arthur Schnitzler, the Austrian playwright and author, and "A Young Girl's Diary," by an anonymous Austrian girl who seemed fond of putting her inmost thoughts into print.

The original charge was made on July 7, and after various appearances in court the charges were dismissed on September 12. The complaint also asserts that the agents who raided his publishing house seized 150 copies of "A Young Girl's Diary."

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

NOTE: A new idea which is being carried out through the Gray Salons, to present from each section, from time to time, at least one most unusual reason for coming to the Wanamaker Store for gowns, wraps, lingerie and other articles of apparel.

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

NOTE: A new idea which is being carried out through the Gray Salons, to present from each section, from time to time, at least one most unusual reason for coming to the Wanamaker Store for gowns, wraps, lingerie and other articles of apparel.

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

NOTE: A new idea which is being carried out through the Gray Salons, to present from each section, from time to time, at least one most unusual reason for coming to the Wanamaker Store for gowns, wraps, lingerie and other articles of apparel.

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

NOTE: A new idea which is being carried out through the Gray Salons, to present from each section, from time to time, at least one most unusual reason for coming to the Wanamaker Store for gowns, wraps, lingerie and other articles of apparel.

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

NOTE: A new idea which is being carried out through the Gray Salons, to present from each section, from time to time, at least one most unusual reason for coming to the Wanamaker Store for gowns, wraps, lingerie and other articles of apparel.

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

NOTE: A new idea which is being carried out through the Gray Salons, to present from each section, from time to time, at least one most unusual reason for coming to the Wanamaker Store for gowns, wraps, lingerie and other articles of apparel.

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

NOTE: A new idea which is being carried out through the Gray Salons, to present from each section, from time to time, at least one most unusual reason for coming to the Wanamaker Store for gowns, wraps, lingerie and other articles of apparel.

Today—
Full-length Coats fur-trimmed, \$98 (Our \$135 grade)

American (A.T.C.) Cord Tires
oversize full—\$10 for the
30x3½—Last Week.

Broadway at Ninth

There Are Those of Us Who Are

constantly lamenting our ill luck.

These people are all the time waiting for something to turn up.

It is a delusion to believe in luck days and spend life waiting for something to turn up, while you do not even keep one eye open for the opportunities passing along.

Lowell said: "Good luck is the willing hand maid of upright character and conscientious observance of duty."

The winds and waves are always on the side of the watchful navigator at the wheel.

[Signed]

John Wanamaker

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

September 29, 1922.

John Wanamaker
Formerly A. T. Stewart

Store hours now
9 to 5.30
Telephone 4700 Stuyvesant

Ukrainian Music

In the Auditorium
Today at 2:30
Lecture recital by
Jessie McBride
Musical illustrations by
members of the
Ukrainian National Chorus.
First Gallery, New Building